REMARKS

In view of the above amendments and following remarks, reconsideration and further examination are requested.

In the Office Action mailed March 24, 2006, claims 34-47 were rejected either under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, or 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

On August 24, 2006, the 35 U.S.C. § 112, rejections, were discussed with Examiner Nguyen via telephone. The courtesies extended by Examiner Nguyen in discussing these claims are greatly appreciated. During this interview Examiner Nguyen suggested changes that would generally address the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first and second paragraph, issues. Accordingly, by the current Amendment, these changes have been incorporated into claims 34-47.

Thus, it is respectfully submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance, with the allowed claims being 34-47.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and an early Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

If after reviewing this Amendment, the Examiner believes that any issues remain which must be resolved before the application can be passed to issue, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicants' undersigned representative by telephone to resolve such issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Masataka NADAOKA et al.

oseph M. Gorski

Registration No. 46,500 Attorney for Applicants

JMG/nka Washington, D.C. 20006-1021 Telephone (202) 721-8200 Facsimile (202) 721-8250 October 24, 2006